02-150

# STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING PROCEEDINGS REFORE THE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

PROPOSED ORDER OF THE

PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

ADOPTING RULES

(CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-150

TO: Alan J. Lasee

President of the Senate

Room 219 South, State Capitol Madison, Wisconsin 53702

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD is submitting in final draft form rules relating to notarized photographs.

If you have any questions concerning the final draft form or desire additional information, please contact Pamela Haack at 266-0495.

# STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING:

REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE

ON CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-150

PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

(s. 227.19 (3), Stats.)

#### I. THE PROPOSED RULE:

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

#### II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:

No new or revised forms are required by these rules.

#### III. FISCAL ESTIMATES:

These rules will have no significant impact upon state or local units of government.

#### IV. STATEMENT EXPLAINING NEED:

Current federal and state law and policy restrict the circumstances, if any, whereby an applicant for a license issued by a governmental entity may be required to submit a personal photograph as part of the application process. Lacking a demonstrated and sufficient reason to continue to require applicants to submit a photograph, it is the board's intent to repeal s. Phar 2.02 (1) (c).

#### V. NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING:

A public hearing was held on February 12, 2003. There were no appearances at the public hearing nor were any written comments received.

## VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

All of the recommendations suggested in the Clearinghouse Report were accepted in whole.

# VII. FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:

These rules will have no significant economic impact on small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Stats.

# STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULE-MAKING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD	<ul> <li>: PROPOSED ORDER OF THE</li> <li>: PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD</li> <li>: ADOPTING RULES</li> <li>: (CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-150)</li> </ul>
PROPOSED ORDER	
An order of the Pharmacy Examining Board notarized photographs.	d to repeal Phar 2.01 (1) (c), relating to
Analysis prepared by the Department of Re	gulation and Licensing.
ANALYSIS	
Statutes authorizing promulgation: ss. 15.08 (5) (b), 227.11 and 450.02 (3), Stats.	
Statutes interpreted: ss. 450.03 (2) and 450.04 (3), Stats.	
Current federal and state law and policy resapplicant for a license issued by a government personal photograph as part of the application sufficient reason to continue to require application to repeal s. Phar 2.02 (1) (c).	ental entity may be required to submit a
TEXT	OF RULE
SECTION 1. Phar 2.02 (1) (c) is rep	pealed.
The rules adopted in this order shall take efficient publication in the Wisconsin administrative Stats.	fect on the first day of the month following register, pursuant to s. 227.22 (2) (intro.),
Dated Agenc	Chairperson Pharmacy Examining Board

# FISCAL ESTIMATE

1. The anticipated fiscal effect on the fiscal liability and revenues of any local unit of government of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.

- 2. The projected anticipated state fiscal effect during the current biennium of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.
- 3. The projected net annualized fiscal impact on state funds of the proposed rule is: \$0.00.

# FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

These rules will have no significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1) (a), Wis. Stat.

g:\rules\phm31.doc 3/5/03





# WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Clearinghouse Director

Terry C. Anderson Legislative Council Director

Richard Sweet Clearinghouse Assistant Director

Laura D. Rose
Legislative Council Deputy Director

## CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT TO AGENCY

[THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED PURSUANT TO S. 227.15, STATS. THIS IS A REPORT ON A RULE AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED BY THE AGENCY; THE REPORT MAY NOT REFLECT THE FINAL CONTENT OF THE RULE IN FINAL DRAFT FORM AS IT WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE. THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES A REVIEW OF, BUT NOT APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF, THE SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ACCURACY OF THE RULE.]

#### CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-150

AN ORDER to repeal Phar 2.02 (1) (c), relating to notarized photographs.

# Submitted by DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

12-13-2002 RECEIVED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

01-06-2003 REPORT SENT TO AGENCY.

RNS:PS

# LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

reported as noted below: STATUTORY AUTHORITY [s. 227.15 (2) (a)] 1. NO 🗸 YES Comment Attached FORM, STYLE AND PLACEMENT IN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE [s. 227.15 (2) (c)] 2. NO 🗸 Comment Attached YES | CONFLICT WITH OR DUPLICATION OF EXISTING RULES [s. 227.15 (2) (d)] 3. NO 🗸 YES Comment Attached ADEQUACY OF REFERENCES TO RELATED STATUTES, RULES AND FORMS 4. [s. 227.15 (2) (e)] YES ✓ NO Comment Attached CLARITY, GRAMMAR, PUNCTUATION AND USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE [s. 227.15 (2) (f)] 5. NO ✓ Comment Attached YES POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WITH, AND COMPARABILITY TO, RELATED FEDERAL 6. REGULATIONS [s. 227.15 (2) (g)] NO 🗸 YES | Comment Attached COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT ACTION DEADLINE REQUIREMENTS [s. 227.15 (2) (h)] 7.

YES |

Comment Attached

NO 🔽

This rule has been reviewed by the Rules Clearinghouse. Based on that review, comments are



# WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky Clearinghouse Director Terry C. Anderson Legislative Council Director

Richard Sweet Clearinghouse Assistant Director Laura D. Rose
Legislative Council Deputy Director

## **CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 02-150**

## **Comments**

[NOTE: All citations to "Manual" in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Revisor of Statutes Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated October 2002.]

# 4. Adequacy of References to Related Statutes, Rules and Forms

- a. In the proposed order language, the citation to the rule being repealed should read "Phar 2.02 (1) (c)" in order to reflect the correct rule section that is affected.
- b. In the effective date clause and the initial regulatory flexibility analysis, the abbreviation following the statutory citations should be ", Stats."